Environmental Pollution 192 (2014) 27-35



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Environmental Pollution



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envpol

Species- and tissue-specific bioaccumulation of arsenicals in various aquatic organisms from a highly industrialized area in the Pohang City, Korea



Seongjin Hong^a, Jong Seong Khim^{a,*}, Jinsoon Park^a, Hee-Sik Son^b, Sung-Deuk Choi^{b,c}, Kyungho Choi^d, Jongseong Ryu^e, Chang-Yong Kim^f, Gap Soo Chang^g, John P. Giesy^{h,i,j}

a School of Earth and Environmental Sciences & Research Institute of Oceanography, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^b Environmental Analysis Center, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (UNIST), Ulsan, Republic of Korea

^c School of Urban and Environmental Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (UNIST), Ulsan, Republic of Korea

^d School of Public Health, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

^e Department of Marine Biotechnology, Anyang University, Ganghwa, Incheon, Republic of Korea

^fCanadian Light Source, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

^g Department of Physics and Engineering Physics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

^h Department of Veterinary Biomedical Sciences & Toxicology Centre, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada

¹Department of Biology & Chemistry and State Key Laboratory in Marine Pollution, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

^j School of the Environment, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 6 January 2014 Received in revised form 28 March 2014 Accepted 3 May 2014 Available online xxx

Keywords: Arsenic speciation Arsenobetaine Bioaccumulation HPLC-ICP/MS µ-XANES

ABSTRACT

Contamination of water and sediment with arsenic (As) in a highly industrialized area of Pohang City, Korea was investigated, with emphasis on in situ bioaccumulation of arsenicals by various aquatic organisms. Species- and tissue-specific concentrations of arsenicals were determined by use of HPLC-ICP/ MS and μ -X-ray absorption near-edge structure (μ -XANES). Concentrations of arsenic in aquatic organisms were strongly associated with corresponding water concentrations, which indicates point sources associated with land use and activities. Arsenobetaine was the most dominant form of arsenic found in fishes, bivalves, crabs, and shrimps, while As^{III} was predominant in freshwater snails. The μ -XANES analysis provided additional information about the unidentified arsenicals such as As-thiol. Arsenicals were mainly localized in intestine of mullet and marsh clam. Distribution and bioaccumulation of arsenic were strongly correlated with salinity, which indicates that natural processes controlling biogeochemistry of arsenic would be important in estuarine lotic system.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Both inorganic and organic forms of arsenic (As) can enter aquatic environments through either natural or human activities (Akter et al., 2005; Cullen and Reimer, 1989). The primary releases are due to human activities, such as mining, combustion of municipal solid waste, fossil fuels in coal- and oil-fired power plants, metal smelting, and direct use of As-containing herbicides by industry and agriculture (Cullen and Reimer, 1989; Nield et al., 2014; Sharma and Sohn, 2009; Zhang et al., 2002). Arsenic can occur in a number of inorganic compounds and minerals, depending on oxidation state and chemical—physical parameters of

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jskocean@snu.ac.kr, jskocean@gmail.com (J.S. Khim).

the environment such as redox potential (Eh), pH, and ionic strength (Akter et al., 2005; Sharma and Sohn, 2009). The form in which As exists dictates its fate in the environment, as well as bioavailability and toxicity (Bissen and Frimmel, 2003). Thus, determination of speciation of As is necessary when assessing risks it might pose (Saunders et al., 2011).

During the last few decades, the ability to separate, identify, and quantify the various forms of arsenicals has been facilitated by development and application of analytical instruments such as high performance liquid chromatography-inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (HPLC-ICP/MS) (Branch et al., 1994; Hirata et al., 2006; Larsen et al., 1993). More than 50 inorganic and organic arsenicals have been identified in biota, including arsenobetaine (AB), which is mainly found in marine organisms (Saunders et al., 2011). In addition, X-ray absorption

near-edge structure (XANES) analysis, which has been utilized to determine oxidation state and the local chemical environment of As in solid matrices could provide useful information about unidentified arsenicals. Furthermore, the combined use of HPLC-ICP/MS and XANES was a powerful technique for understanding speciation of As (Caumette et al., 2011, 2012a; Smith et al., 2005; Whaley-Martin et al., 2012a). Numerous studies have investigated speciation of As in aquatic organisms, which has provided abundant information on occurrence of arsenicals in aquatic environments and food that originates from it (Caumette et al., 2011; Koch et al., 2007; Lai et al., 2012; Whaley-Martin et al., 2012a; Williams et al., 2006).

Inorganic As is, in general, more mobile and toxic than are As-C bonds (organo-arsenicals) (Eisler, 1988). In surface water, As is commonly present as inorganic forms such as pentavalent arsenate (As^{V}, AsO_{4}^{3-}) and trivalent arsenite (As^{III}, AsO_{3}^{3-}) . Inorganic As can be transformed into organic forms through bio-methylation by phytoplankton (Azizur Rahman et al., 2012). Organic compounds containing As can be transformed to inorganic As and methyl-As by microbial activity. Thus, microorganisms such as phytoplankton and bacteria play important roles in forms of As, distribution, and cycling in marine and freshwater environments (Azizur Rahman et al., 2012). More higher trophic level organisms such as fish, bivalves, crabs, shrimp, and gastropods can be exposed to As through waterborne (inorganic) and dietary (inorganic and organic) routes, biotransform, accumulate, and retain it inside their bodies (Azizur Rahman et al., 2012). Each organism seems to have its own metabolic pathways to convert organic and/ or inorganic As and can excrete As through urine and feces or accumulate As in their body (ATSDR, 2007). Results of previous studies have suggested that arsenicals do not biomagnify along the aquatic food chain (ATSDR, 2007; Caumette et al., 2012b). To understand the biogeochemistry and potential risk of As in aquatic ecosystems, studies on in situ species-specific bioaccumulation and tissue distributions of arsenicals would be beneficial (Edmonds et al., 1993; Hirata and Toshimitsu, 2005; Maher et al., 1999; Schaeffer et al., 2006). However, there are few data from in situ studies of the characteristics of bioaccumulation of As in various aquatic organisms or its overall fate and distribution in water and sediments.

Distribution, fate, and bioactivity of As seem to be influenced by salinity in estuaries (Azizur Rahman et al., 2012). In general, As is nearly conservative during estuarine mixing and concentrations of As in water are directly proportional to salinity (Tremblay and Gobeil, 1990; Seyler and Martin, 1991). In estuaries where As concentrations are often greater, the interface between freshwater and saltwater has been found to be a zone of coprecipitation of Fe and As (Cullen and Reimer, 1989; Kossoff and Hudson-Edwards, 2012). In mining- or industry-affected estuaries, behavior and fate of As is often non-conservative and As is accumulated in bottom sediments rather than being moved out to the open ocean (Duan et al., 2010; Kossoff and Hudson-Edwards, 2012). Thus, due to its water chemistry (pH and ionic strength), bioavailability and bioaccumulation of As in estuaries might be influenced by salinity. However, the effects are not fully understood.

The present study investigated biogeochemistry of As to: i) determine current status and trends of concentrations of As in water, sediment, and biota and field-based bioaccumulation factor (BAF); ii) identify forms of As in aquatic organisms by combined HPLC-ICP/MS and μ -XANES analyses; iii) determine distribution of arsenicals among tissues of fish and bivalves; and iv) determine effects of salinity in estuarine lotic systems on the biogeochemistry of As.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

Chemicals and reagents used in identification and quantification of target forms of As are presented in Table S1 of Supplemental Materials (S).

2.2. Study area, sample collection, and preparation

Pohang City is located on the east coast of South Korea, where the largest steel and iron making plants are situated at the center of the city (Fig. 1). Since these facilities are close to a residential area (<1 km), possible adverse effects of corresponding pollutants from the steel plant was of great public concern, particularly to residents in the area (Baek et al., 2010; Hong et al., 2014). Environmental samples were collected from around the steel and iron making plants (S1–S5), municipal areas (M1-M5), and from reference sites (R1 and R2) in June and August, 2010 and February, 2011 (12 sites for water, 8 sites for sediment, and 8 sites for aquatic organisms (see Figs. 1 and 2). Temperature, salinity, pH, and dissolved oxygen were measured in the field with a calibrated multiprobe (YSI 556 MPS, Yellow Springs, OH). Samples of water for the determination of total concentrations of As (dissolved phase), were filtered through a pre-washed 0.45 µm filter (Nuclepore, Whatman, Maidstone, UK) and acidified (pH < 2) with nitric acid. Sediments were freeze-dried, sieved (<2 mm), and stored at 4 °C prior to analysis. Biota samples were classified, pooled, and freeze-dried. Some samples of biota including fish and bivalves were further necropsied to allow tissue-specific distribution analysis and stored at -20 °C.

2.3. Quantification of total concentrations of As

Total concentrations of As in water were measured by use of Elan DRC II ICP/MS (PerkinElmer, Shelton, CT) as AsO at m/2 91 in DRC mode by use of oxygen as the reaction cell gas. Operating conditions of the ICP/MS are presented in Table S2. Freeze-dried sediment and biota were digested with a mixture of concentrated nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide on a heating mantle (120 °C) for 4 h then evaporated to near dryness and diluted with 1% HNO₃, and kept frozen. Accuracy of determination of total As was assessed by use of certified reference material (CRM) MESS-3 (marine sediment, National Research Council (NRC), Canada). Recoveries ranged from 93 to 97% of the certified value of As (n = 3).

2.4. Characterization of species of As by HPLC-ICP/MS

Forms of As in biota were identified and quantified by use of previously described methods (Whaley-Martin et al., 2012a, 2012b) with some modifications. In brief, 0.1-0.5 g of freeze-dried and homogenized biota sample was weighed into 15 mL polypropylene centrifuge tubes, and 10 mL of 2% nitric acid solution (HNO₃, Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MI) added. Samples were sonicated for 30 min and placed on a water bath shaker for 4 h (60 °C, 120 rpm), then centrifuged for 15 min at 1000×g. All extracts were filtered through 0.22 μ m membrane filters (13 mm, MCE filter, Jet Biofil, Guangzhou, China) and kept frozen until instrumental analysis. Six forms of As, including arsenocholine (AC, C₅H₁₄AsO⁺), arsenobetaine (AB, C₅H₁₁AsO₂), monomethylarsonic acid (MMA, CH₅AsO₃), dimethylarsinic acid (DMA, C₂H₇AsO₂), As^{III}, and As^V were separated and quantified by use of HPLC-ICP/MS (PerkinElmer Series 200 HPLC and ELAN DRC II ICP/MS System) with a Hamilton PRP X100 anion exchange column (250×4.1 mm, 10 μ m particle, Reno, NV) with a gradient mobile phase (mobile phase A: 1 mM ammonium carbonate and mobile phase B: 12 mM ammonium phosphate + 12 mM ammonium nitrate, pH = 9.5, 1.5 mL min⁻¹). Injection volume of sample was 50 µL and flow rate of mobile phase was 1.5 mL min⁻¹. Detailed instrumental conditions for HPLC analysis are given in Table S2. The instrumental software used for the HPLC-ICP/MS was Chromera Chromatography Data System (Ver. 2.1, PerkinElmer).

2.5. Characterization of speciation of As by μ -XANES

The μ -XANES spectra of biota were collected at the Hard X-ray Micro-Analysis (HXMA) beamline at the Canadian Light Source (CLS) at the University of Saskatchewan in Saskatoon, SK, by use of published method (Smith et al., 2005) with some modifications. The μ -XANES analysis of the CLS has been validated previously and several papers on speciation of As have been published using the HXMA beamline (Button et al., 2011; Koch et al., 2011). Due to limited beam time, a subset of biota containing relatively greater concentrations of unidentified arsenicals, were measured by use of u-XANES. Freeze-dried and homogenized biota samples were placed between two layers of Kapton tape and packed in a sample holder. Five to ten scans of each sample were collected and first three data were averaged as no damage to the sample by the beam was observed. XANES spectra of the K-edge (11,868 eV) of As were fit within -20 to +40 eV to E₀ by use of Athena software (2001–2008 Bruce Ravel). The Si (111) double-crystal monochromator was calibrated using the first inflection point of the gold $L_{\rm III}$ absorption edge (11,919.7 eV). A reference gold foil was measured simultaneously with samples. Several forms of As measured previously by other groups were identified and used for comparison (Smith et al., 2005).



Fig. 1. Sampling sites of the Pohang study area, Korea, showing spatio-temporal distributions of total As in (a) water and (b) sediments in June and August of 2010 and February of 2011.

2.6. Quality assurance and quality control

Calibration standards of 1, 5, 10, 50, 100, and 500 ng g⁻¹ of six As compounds were used. Method detection limits (MDL) for six arsenicals were calculated as the blank + $3.707 \times SD$ (standard deviation, n = 7). MDLs ranged from 0.02 to

0.06 μg g⁻¹ dw for arsenicals in biota (Table S3). Stabilities of forms of As during extraction were determined according to the same method. Degradation or interconversion of arsenicals did not significantly occur and recoveries ranged from 75 to 97% (n = 5) (Table S3). Accuracy for As speciation was assessed using two CRMs, DORM-3 (fish protein, NRC) and TORT-2 (lobster hepatopancreas, NRC). These



Fig. 2. Results of (a) HPLC-ICP/MS analysis and (b) μ -XANES analysis of arsenicals and in various aquatic organisms including fish, bivalves, crab, shrimp, and gastropods collected from the Pohang area (UC: uncontaminated; HC: highly contaminated; and R: reference site). The dotted lines in μ -XANES spectra indicate the various arsenicals reported previously (Smith et al., 2005). White line energies of various arsenicals were shown in Table S4.

materials were analyzed by the described methods and results obtained for the CRM consistent with previously reported values (Leufroy et al., 2011; Wahlen et al., 2004). A mid concentration of calibration standard and procedural and instrumental blanks were analyzed after every 10 samples as a check for drift in instrumental sensitivity and background contamination and/or carryover. Concentrations of all analytes in certified, CRMs were within 10% of the standard concentration and concentrations in all blank were found to be less than the corresponding MDLs.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Concentrations of As in water and sediment

Concentrations of As in water ranged from <DL to 13 µg As L⁻¹ (dissolved phase) (Table 1 and Fig. 1). During the three surveys, the

Table 1

Concentrations of As in water and sediment and site categorization according to the environmental quality guideline.

Sampling site	Surrounding activity	ity Salinity			Total As		Comparison						
		_			Water (μg As L^{-1})			Sedime	ent (µg As	$g^{-1} dw$)		to WQG ^a
		Jun.	Aug.	Feb.	Jun.	Aug.	Feb.	Mean	Jun.	Aug.	Feb.	Mean	
S1	Industrial area	8.0	0.3	6.5	4.5	0.92	1.6	2.3	7.0	6.2	5.7	6.3	
S2		12	0.5	10	5.0	1.0	2.3	2.8	7.2	5.4	7.8	6.8	
S3		20	3.4	27	7.4	2.2	5.5	5.0	17	21	15	18	>WQG
S4		30	26	33	12	12	6.6	10	nc ^b	nc	nc		>WQG
S5		30	20	28	11	7.5	5.6	8.0	nc	nc	nc		>WQG
M1	Municipal area	< 0.1	0.1	0.9	1.0	0.82	0.44	0.75	5.3	6.0	4.2	5.2	
M2		6.0	1.2	23	2.6	1.2	5.1	3.0	5.7	5.6	5.6	5.6	
M3		28	23	32	13	9.1	6.6	9.6	9.4	9.8	9.5	9.6	>WQG
M4		< 0.1	0.2	0.5	1.0	1.4	0.29	0.90	nc	nc	nc		
M5		< 0.1	0.2	0.4	1.0	1.0	0.36	0.82	nc	nc	nc		
R1	Reference sites	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.1	0.76	0.99	_c	0.88	7.5	5.4	6.9	6.6	
R2		<0.1	0.3	nc	0.68	1.1	nc	0.89	7.1	7.0	nc	7.1	

^a Comparison to WQG of As in water: 5 μg As L⁻¹ (freshwater aquatic life) (CCME, 2001).

^b nc: Not collected.

^c -: Less than MDL.

greatest, arithmetic, mean concentration of As was found at location S4 (WWTP effluent) where concentrations ranged from 6.6 to 12 μ g As L⁻¹, followed by M3 (municipal area, 6.6–13 μ g As L⁻¹) and S5 (industrial area, 5.6–11 μ g As L⁻¹). In sediment, the greatest concentrations of As were found at S3 (industrial area, 15–21 μ g As g⁻¹ dw) and M3 (9.5–9.8 μ g As g⁻¹ dw). Concentrations of As in some waters of the Pohang area were close to or exceeded (S3, S4, S5, and M3) existing water quality guideline (CCME, 2001) based on adverse effects on aquatic organisms (Table 1). In general, concentrations and spatial distributions of As in water and sediment of the Pohang area were affected by point sources in the surrounding industrial and municipal areas.

To address seasonal variation, concentrations of As in water and sediment were measured three times during the year. As expected, concentrations of As in water varies among seasons, with greater concentrations in June and lesser concentrations in February and August (Fig. 1a). Approximately 75 mm of rainfall was recorded in Pohang during the sampling period (5 day) of August, thus drastic decreases in waterborne As during this time might be due to dilution. Another possible explanation for seasonal variation in waterborne concentrations of As could be differences in atmospheric deposition. For example, southwesterly winds prevailed in winter (Baek et al., 2010; Fang et al., 2012) could facilitate atmospheric transport and dispersion of As from the industrial area to the residential city area (Nield et al., 2014; Pacyna, 1987). There was no apparent seasonal variation in concentrations of As in sediments (Fig. 1b), which suggests that sedimentary distribution of As would be less influenced by short-term weather conditions. Overall, concentrations of As in Pohang area were greater than the background for the region and most likely affected by direct input from the surrounding industrial complex and city as well as atmospheric deposition.

3.2. Concentrations of As in biota

Arsenic was detected in all samples of fishes, bivalves, crabs, shrimps, and gastropods with varying concentrations between species (Table 2). The greatest mean concentration of As was found in crab, followed by bivalves, shrimp, and gastropods and finally in fishes with lesser concentrations. Concentrations of As in biota were dependent on corresponding waterborne concentrations of As, particularly for fish and filter-feeder organisms such as bivalves and shrimps (Table 1 and Fig. S1). For example, concentrations of As in the trident goby (Tridentiger brevispinis) were 1.6 and 5.4 μ g As g⁻¹ dw in individuals collected from locations M5 and S3 (As in water > WQG), respectively. Also, concentrations of As in lake prawn (Palaemon paucidens) collected from R1 (reference site) and S3 were 1.7 and 11 μ g As g⁻¹ dw, which were consistent with concentrations of As in water. Concentrations of As in bivalves were generally proportional to concentrations of As in water (Fig. S1). Such relationships or variations cross the target organisms could be due to similarity and/or difference in: i) natural habitat condition; ii) food sources and feeding guild; and iii) rates of uptake and

Table 2

Results for HPLC-ICP/MS analysis of arsenicals in various aquatic organisms collected from more contaminated sites, uncontaminated sites, and reference sites of the Pohang area, Korea.

Sampling site	Biota	Common name	Scientific name	n	Total As (µg As g ⁻¹ dw)	Inorgani (µg As g	c As ⁻¹ dw)	Organic As (μ g As g ⁻¹ dw)				
						As ^{III}	As ^V	AB	AC	MMA	DMA	
S1	Crab	Grapsid crab	Helice tridens tridens	15	4.8	1.6	_	2.2	0.019	0.015	0.010	80
S3	Fish	Trident goby	Tridentiger obscurus	3	5.4	_b	-	4.6	0.015	_	_	87
	Shrimp	Lake prawn	Palaemon paucidens	27	11	_	0.010	11	0.014	0.023	0.014	98
		Opossum shrimp	Mysidacea	3	5.7	1.2	0.93	1.5	0.044	_	0.073	66
S5	Fish	Glass puffer	Fugu niphobles	3	4.9	_	-	4.7	0.097	_	0.095	99
	Bivalve	Blue mussel	Mytilus edulis	10	12	1.3	0.57	3.5	0.043	_	0.14	46
		Oyster	Crassostrea gigas	43	11	1.5	0.084	6.8	0.049	_	0.30	77
	Crab	Grass crab	Hemigrapsus penicillatus	5	12	3.4	0.16	7.4	0.016	0.42	0.082	95
		Grass crab	Hemigrapsus penicillatus	14	7.1	1.9	0.16	4.9	0.017	0.050	0.039	99
M1	Fish	Mullet	Mugil cephalus	10	4.1	0.15	0.11	0.20	0.017	0.021	0.15	16
	Bivalve	Marsh clam	Corbicula fluminea	13	6.1	0.51	-	1.5	_	_	0.13	34
		Marsh clam	Corbicula fluminea	25	4.9	1.6	0.21	0.59	_	_	0.10	51
		Unio douglasiae	Unio douglasiae	3	4.0	0.73	-	0.81	_	_	0.025	39
	Gastropod	River snail	Cipangopaludina chinensis	3	2.8	0.77	-	0.10	0.30	_	0.028	42
M4	Fish	Minnow	Moroco oxycephalus	3	3.1	_	0.20	0.63	_	_	0.037	29
		Skygager	Erythroculter erythropterus	72	1.9	0.070	0.11	0.36	_	_	_	28
		Skygager	Erythroculter erythropterus	22	1.9	-	_	0.59	_	_	_	31
		Paradise goby	Rhinogobius giurinus	3	1.8	0.30	-	0.86	_	_	_	63
		Minnow	Moroco oxycephalus	9	1.3	0.077	0.041	0.26	-	_	_	29
		Southern king spine loach	Iksookimia hugowolfeldi	3	0.64	0.087	0.079	0.26	-	-	0.062	76
	Shrimp	Fairy shrimp	Neocaridina denticulata	41	56	14	_	0.24	0.010	0.052	0.12	33
	ommp	Fairy shrimp	Neocaridina denticulata	5	5.0	_	_	2.5	_	_	0.48	59
	Gastropod	Pond snail	Radix auricularia coreana	6	64	5.0	0.012	0.44	0.40	013	0.021	94
	dustropou	Melanian snail	Semisulcospira libertina	106	62	27	-	0.082	0.10	0.024	0.059	49
		Melanian snail	Semisulcospira libertina	31	4.6	2.7	0.059	0.062	0.21	0.032	0.000	71
M5	Fish	Dark chub	Zacco temmincki	7	22	0.66	0.53	0.68	0.009	_	0.091	88
	1 1011	Trident goby	Tridentiger hrevisninis	3	16	0.22	_	0.72	_	_	0.099	67
		Paradise goby	Rhinogohius giurinus	2	0.85	_	_	0.72	_	_	-	84
		Minnow	Rhynchocynris oxycenhalus	17	0.78	0.078	0.010	0.18	_	_	0.065	42
	Gastropod	Pond snail	Radix auricularia coreana	5	40	16	0.087	13	_	_	0.75	93
R1	Shrimp	Lake prawn	Palaemon paucidens	21	1.7	0.19	_	0.11	_	_	_	17
R2	Fish	Muddy loach	Misgurnus mizolepis	3	0.69	_	-	0.69	-	-	-	99

 $^a\;$ Extraction efficiency (%) = Sum of identified As / Total As \times 100.

^b –: Less than MDL.

excretion of As among aquatic organisms (Katagi, 2010; Williams et al., 2006).

Field-based bioaccumulation factors (BAF) values for As could be calculated for target aquatic organisms (divided into freshwater and marine) based on concentrations of As in water and biota (Table 3). Overall, field-based BAFs for As were slightly greater in freshwater organisms than those in marine organisms. Among freshwater organisms, gastropods (freshwater snail, Radix auricularia coreana, Semisulcospira libertine, and Cipangopaludina chinensis) exhibited the greatest log BAFs, ranging from 2.8 to 3.7, followed by shrimp, bivalve, and fish. For marine organisms, BAFs of crab and bivalves were greater than those of fishes and shrimp. The BAFs of As obtained from this study were generally comparable to the values reported by the previous field studies (Chen et al., 2000; Giusti and Zhang, 2002; Mason et al., 2000; Mitra et al., 2012; Wagemann et al., 1978) (Table 3). Values of BAF for As in aquatic organisms measured in the present study suggested that lower trophic level organisms had greater BAFs than did higher trophic level organisms and As seemed not to biomagnify in either freshwater or marine food webs. Overall, the field-based BAF values obtained from this study exhibited a wide range due to speciesspecific characteristics and field variances. Despite these limitations, the BAF values of As in freshwater and marine organisms are valuable to better understand bioavailability and biogeochemistry of As.

3.3. Speciation of As in various aquatic organisms

In order to identify the composition of arsenicals in aquatic organisms, six forms of arsenicals, AC, AB, MMA, DMA, As^{III}, and As^V were quantified by use of HPLC-ICP/MS (Table 2 and Fig. 2a). Arsenobetaine was the predominant form of As in fishes, followed by unidentified forms of As. Inorganic arsenicals such as As^{III} and As^V and organic arsenicals such as MMA, DMA, and AC accounted for a relatively small portion of the total concentration of As in fishes. Overall, about 60% of arsenicals in fishes were unidentified forms other than the six forms quantified in this study. Lesser concentrations of As in tissues of fishes, compared to other organisms implied that arsenicals seemed to be rapidly transformed and excreted from bodies of fishes, which is consistent with the known lack of bioaccumulation of As and/or simply less uptake (Azizur Rahman et al., 2012; Neff, 1997; Williams et al., 2006). Concentrations of AB in fishes were greater in samples collected from sites S3 and S5 where concentrations of As were greater in water and sediment, while unidentified species of As accounted for the majority of the total concentration of As in most fishes from uncontaminated sites (<WQG) (Fig. 2a). The AB in fishes might be accumulated by dietary exposure which comes from degradation of arsenosugar in zooplankton (Azizur Rahman et al., 2012; Caumette et al., 2012b; Wrobel et al., 2002).

Both AB and As^{III} were predominant forms of As in bivalves, and unidentified As accounted for a substantial proportion of the total $(\sim 50\%)$. In crab and shrimp, AB and As^{III} were also predominant, accounting for 88% and 64% of the total concentration of As, respectively. Greater concentrations of arsenicals were accumulated in bivalve, crab, and shrimp than in fishes. A large portion of As in bivalves, crab, and shrimp collected from more contaminated sites such as S3 and S5 was AB. Speciation of As in bivalves observed during this study was similar to the results of previous studies (Kohlmever et al., 2002: Larsen et al., 1997: Whaley-Martin et al., 2012a). Compositions of As in gastropods were apparently different from those of other taxonomic groups. For example, As^{III} was the predominant form of As in gastropods (freshwater snail) (Fig. 2a). This result was consistent with the results of previous studies that found tetramethyl-arsonium oxide (Tetra), As^{III}, and arsenosugars to be the most abundant forms of As in freshwater snails, while AB

Table 3

	Field-based bioaccumulation factor (BAF) of As in various ac	uatic organ	nisms (f	freshwater and marine)	obtained from this stud	v and	previous studies.
--	--------------------------------------	-----	-----------------------	-------------	----------	------------------------	-------------------------	-------	-------------------

Marine/	Aquatic organisms	Number of	Bioaccumulation	factor (Log BAF) ^a	References
Freshwater		pooled samples	Min. – Max.	$\text{Mean}\pm\text{SD}$	
Freshwater	Fish ^b	12	2.2-3.4	2.6 ± 0.4	This study
	Bivalve ^c	3	2.9-3.3	3.1 ± 0.2	
	Shrimp ^d	3	2.5-3.5	3.1 ± 0.5	
	Gastropod ^e	5	2.8-3.7	3.3 ± 0.4	
Marine	Fish ^f	2	2.2-2.3	2.3	
	Bivalve ^g	2	2.5-2.5	2.5	
	Crab ^h	3	2.4-2.9	$\textbf{2.6} \pm \textbf{0.3}$	
	Shrimp ⁱ	2	2.1-2.3	2.2	
Freshwater	Fish	9	2.4-2.9	$\textbf{2.6} \pm \textbf{0.2}$	Mason et al., 2000
Freshwater	Fish	10	0.69-1.6	1.1 ± 0.3	Shah et al., 2009
Marine	Mussel ^j	4	2.8-3.1	$\textbf{3.0}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	Giusti and Zhang, 2002
Freshwater	Gastropod	4	2.2-2.4	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	Wagemann et al., 1978
	Amphipoda	4	2.0-2.3	$\textbf{2.3} \pm \textbf{0.1}$	
Freshwater	Small plankton	16	2.3-4.2	3.1 ± 0.6	Chen et al., 2000
	(45–202 μm) ^k				
	Macrozooplankton	15	1.9-3.6	2.6 ± 0.5	
Freshwater	(>202 µII) Algae	3	29-41	36 ± 07	Mitra et al. 2012
	Marine/ Freshwater Marine Freshwater Freshwater Marine Freshwater Freshwater	Marine/ FreshwaterAquatic organismsFreshwaterFish ^b Bivalve ^c Shrimp ^d Gastropod ^e MarineFish ^f Bivalve ^g Crab ^h Shrimp ⁱ FreshwaterFish Fish MarineFreshwaterFish ShrimpiFreshwaterFish ShrimpiFreshwaterFish MusseljFreshwaterGastropod AmphipodaFreshwaterSmall plankton (45-202 µm) ^k Macrozoplankton (>202 µm) ^k FreshwaterAlgae	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Marine/}\\ \mbox{Freshwater} & \mbox{Aquatic organisms} & \mbox{Number of pooled samples} & \mbox{Bioaccumulation}\\ \hline \mbox{Min.} - \mbox{Max.} \\ \hline \mbox{Freshwater} & \mbox{Fish}^b & 12 & 2.2-3.4 \\ \mbox{Bivalve}^c & 3 & 2.9-3.3 \\ \mbox{Shrimp}^d & 3 & 2.5-3.5 \\ \mbox{Gastropod}^e & 5 & 2.8-3.7 \\ \mbox{Gastropod}^e & 5 & 2.8-3.7 \\ \mbox{Marine} & \mbox{Fish}^f & 2 & 2.2-2.3 \\ \mbox{Bivalve}^g & 2 & 2.5-2.5 \\ \mbox{Crab}^n & 3 & 2.4-2.9 \\ \mbox{Shrimp}^i & 2 & 2.1-2.3 \\ \mbox{Freshwater} & \mbox{Fish} & 9 & 2.4-2.9 \\ \mbox{Freshwater} & \mbox{Fish} & 10 & 0.69-1.6 \\ \mbox{Marine} & \mbox{Mussel}^j & 4 & 2.8-3.1 \\ \mbox{Freshwater} & \mbox{Gastropod} & 4 & 2.2-2.4 \\ \mbox{Amphipoda} & 4 & 2.0-2.3 \\ \mbox{Freshwater} & \mbox{Small plankton} & 16 & 2.3-4.2 \\ \mbox{(45-202 } \mu m)^k & & \\ \mbox{Macrozoplankton} & 15 & 1.9-3.6 \\ \mbox{(>202 } \mu m)^k & & \\ \mbox{Freshwater} & \mbox{Algae} & 3 & 2.9-4.1 \\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

^a Log BAF = log (concentration of total As in biota (mg As kg^{-1} wet weight)/concentration of total As in water (mg As L^{-1})).

^b Erythroculter erythropterus, Iksookimia hugowolfeldi, Moroco oxycephalus, Misgurnus mizolepis, Rhinogobius giurinus, Rhynchocypris oxycephalus, Tridentiger brevispinis, and Zacco temmincki.

^c Corbicula fluminea and Unio douglasiae.

^d Neocaridina denticulata and Palaemon paucidens.

^e Cipangopaludina chinensis malleata, Radix auricularia coreana, and Semisulcospira libertine.

^f Fugu niphobles and Tridentiger obscurus.

^g Crassostrea gigas and Mytilus edulis.

^h Helice tridens tridens and Hemigrapsus penicillatus.

ⁱ Mysidacea and Palaemon paucidens.

^j Converted to wet weight assuming 80% water content.

^k Converted to wet weight assuming 90% water content.

was a minor constituent (Lai et al., 2012). Metabolic pathways for detoxification and elimination of arsenicals would be different between freshwater snail and other aquatic organisms. In general, concentrations of organic arsenicals in biota, except for gastropods, were directly proportional to the total concentration of As (Fig. S2).

To further examine the unidentified arsenicals. u-XANES was performed for selected samples of several taxa. The u-XANES analysis of aquatic organisms detected the presence of several other forms of As (Fig. 2b). The μ -XANES white line energy peak at ~11,872 eV, indicated that As^{III}, AB, AC, Tetra, and other ABs (C2-AB or C3-AB) occurred in mullet and marsh clams collected from location M1 (Smith et al., 2005). As^{III} and AC occurred at lesser proportions in corresponding samples analyzed by HPLC-ICP/MS analysis (Fig. 2a). Thus, unidentified As in mullet and marsh clam was likely due to the presence of organic arsenicals such as Tetra and ABs. A peak of 11,873 eV, which corresponded to AB, AC, and arsenosugars, was observed in blue mussel and oyster from site S5 (Smith et al., 2005). Based on the results of HPLC-ICP/MS analysis, the unidentified As in blue mussel and oyster are also likely to be arsenosugars. A peak at \sim 11,872 eV, which corresponds to As^{III}, was observed in freshwater snails such as pond snail (Radix auricularia coreana) and melanian snail (Semisulcospira libertina) from site M4, which was consistent with the results of the HPLC-ICP/MS analysis.

3.4. Tissue-specific distributions of arsenicals in fish and bivalves

Tissue-specific distributions of arsenicals in a fish (mullet, *Mugil cephalus*) and the bivalve (marsh clam, *Corbicula fluminea*) were determined (Fig. 3). Results of HPLC-ICP/MS analysis revealed that greater concentrations of As in intestine of fish and various arsenicals such as As^V, As^{III}, MMA, DMA, and AB were also present (Fig. 3a). Unidentified arsenicals comprised relatively large proportions of total concentrations of As, and μ -XANES analysis with a peak at 11,870 eV

that indicated the presence of As-thiol (Smith et al., 2005; Whaley-Martin et al., 2012a). Binding of inorganic As to thiol groups of proteins such as metallothioneines is one of the mechanisms for toxic effects and this interaction could also be related to detoxification processes (Kitchin and Wallace, 2008; Langdon et al., 2003). Metallothioneines (cyteine-rich proteins) can be induced when intracellular concentrations of metal and/or metalloids exceed a threshold. Consequently, As-thiol complexes could be transformed to the AB via subsequent methylation (Button et al., 2009; Whaley-Martin et al., 2012a). This result indicated that arsenicals could be accumulated selectively, localized, and/or biotransformed in the intestine.

In the case of the marsh clam, there was a trend in tissue-specific distribution which was similar to that of mullet (Fig. 3b). However, the greater concentrations of inorganic As and AB were found in the body. Lesser amounts of unidentified As were observed in bivalves and total concentrations of As did not significantly differ between intestine and other organs of marsh clam. Collectively these results suggested that arsenicals are less metabolized in the bivalve (marsh clam) than in the fish (mullet). In general, aquatic organisms are exposed to As directly from the water and via the diet then either being accumulated or biotransformed to less-toxic forms in the intestine (Azizur Rahman et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012). Consequently, As can be excreted from the body mostly in urine or feces and retained in the body (Neff, 1997; Reimer et al., 2010).

3.5. Effects of salinity on As distribution and bioaccumulation in estuaries

In estuaries, salinity might be one key factor to influence the distribution and bioaccumulation of As in aquatic organisms (Azizur Rahman et al., 2012; Tremblay and Gobeil, 1990). Results of the present study indicated that concentrations of As in water, sediments, and biota tended to increase with increasing salinity



Fig. 3. Organ-specific distributions of arsenicals in (a) fish (mullet, *Mugil cephalus*) and (b) bivalve (marsh clam, *Corbicula fluminea*) identified by HPLC-ICP/MS and μ -XANES analyses.



Fig. 4. Effects of salinity on As distribution and bioaccumulation. Scatter plots of (a) salinity vs. As in water, (b) salinity vs. As in sediment, (c) salinity vs. As in biota, (d) salinity vs. $\log K_d$ (water-sediment distribution coefficient), (e) salinity vs. $\log BAF$ (bioaccumulation factor), and (f) salinity vs. AB in biota obtained from this study.

(Fig. 4a–c). Distribution of As in water along salinity gradient in the study area indicated that was due to simple mixing of local fresh-water and seawater from land to ocean (Duan et al., 2010; Kossoff and Hudson-Edwards, 2012) (Fig. 4a). Distributions of As in sediments were slightly correlated with salinity (except for site S3) which seemed to be the results of scavenging of As from water column to sediments due to its adsorption onto hydrous iron oxides that precipitated (Fauser et al., 2013; Sánchez-Rodas et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2013) (Fig. 4b). Concentrations of As in biota were also associated (but not significant) with salinity (Fig. 4c).

The water-sediment distribution coefficient (log K_d) of As generally increased as a function of salinity (Fig. 4d), which suggested that elevated $\log K_d$ values could be explained by adsorption mechanisms including: i) "cation bridge" (i.e., divalent cations such as Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Cu²⁺, etc. could form a bridge between anionic As and sedimentary organic matter) (Dang et al., 2014), ii) coprecipitation with metal-ion precipitates, and iii) anion exchange (Chan, 1999). Field-based BAFs of As were slightly inversely proportional to salinity, which indicates that As seemed to be more bioaccessible in freshwater organisms than in marine organisms (Fig. 4e). Among the target arsenicals, AB was the predominant organic form found in most of aquatic organisms, except for gastropods, especially enriched in regions with greater As concentrations in water and sediment. Meanwhile, salinity-dependent variation in As accumulation was inferred from the fact that AB contributed a greater proportion of total As in marine organisms from higher salinity environments (Fig. 4f and Fig. S3). AB might act as a cellular osmolyte, due to its structural similarity to glycine betaine which has been suggested to improve maintenance of ionic and osmotic homeostasis during seawater adaptation (Amlund and Berntssen, 2004; Caumette et al., 2012b; Whaley-Martin et al., 2012a). At this time the exact pathway in biogeochemistry of As in a salinity

gradient system could be somehow limited, however, the results clearly indicated that the sallinity would be one particular concern governing the distribution and bioaccumulation of As in aquatic lotic systems.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the projects entitled "Development of Technology for CO_2 Marine Geological Storage" and "Oil spill Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Restoration (PM57431)" funded by the Ministry of Oceans and Fisheries of Korea given to Prof. J.S. Khim. Prof. J.P. Giesy was supported by the Canada Research Chair program, the Toxicology Program and Global Institute for Water Security of the University of Saskatchewan, an at large Chair Professorship at the Department of Biology and Chemistry and Research Centre for Coastal Pollution and Conservation, City University of Hong Kong, and the Einstein Professor Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.05.004.

References

- Akter, K., Owens, G., Davey, D., Naidu, R., 2005. Arsenic speciation and toxicity in biological systems. In: Ware, G., Albert, L., Crosby, D.G., Voogt, P., Hutzinger, O., Knaak, J., Mayer, F., Morgan, D.P., Park, D., Tjeerdema, R., Whitacre, D., Yang, R.H., Gunther, F. (Eds.), Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. Springer, New York, pp. 97–149.
- Amlund, H., Berntssen, M.H.G., 2004. Arsenobetaine in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.): influence of seawater adaptation. Comp. Biochem. Phys. C 138, 507–514.

- ATSDR (Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry), 2007. Toxicological Profile for Arsenic. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Atlanta, GA.
- Azizur Rahman, M., Hasegawa, H., Peter Lim, R., 2012. Bioaccumulation, biotransformation and trophic transfer of arsenic in the aquatic food chain. Environ. Res. 116, 118–135.
- Baek, S.Y., Choi, S.D., Park, H., Kang, J.H., Chang, Y.S., 2010. Spatial and seasonal distribution of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in the vicinity of an iron and steel making plant. Environ. Sci. Technol. 44, 3035–3040.
- Bissen, M., Frimmel, F.H., 2003. Arsenic a review. Part I: occurrence, toxicity, speciation, mobility. Acta Hydrochim. Hydrobiol. 31, 9–18.
- Branch, S., Ebdon, L., O'Neill, P., 1994. Determination of arsenic species in fish by directly coupled high-performance liquid chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 9, 33–37.
- Button, M., Jenkin, G.R.T., Harrington, C.F., Watts, M.J., 2009. Arsenic biotransformation in earthworms from contaminated soils. J. Environ. Monit. 11, 1484–1491.
- Button, M., Moriarty, M.M., Watts, M.J., Zhang, J., Koch, I., Reimer, K.J., 2011. Arsenic speciation in field-collected and laboratory-exposed earthworms *Lumbricus terrestris*. Chemosphere 85, 1277–1283.
- Caumette, G., Koch, I., Estrada, E., Reimer, K.J., 2011. Arsenic speciation in plankton organisms from contaminated lakes: transformations at the base of the freshwater food chain. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 9917–9923.
- Caumette, G., Koch, I., Moriarty, M., Reimer, K.J., 2012a. Arsenic distribution and speciation in *Daphnia pulex*. Sci. Total Environ. 432, 243–250.
- Caumette, G., Koch, I., Reimer, K.J., 2012b. Arsenobetaine formation in plankton: a review of studies at the base of the aquatic food chain. J. Environ. Monit. 14, 2841–2853.
- CCME (Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment), 2001. Water Quality Guidelines for Arsenic. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment.
- Chan, Y.-F., 1999. The Sorption of Arsenic Species to Moira Lake Sediments (Master thesis). University of Toronto, National Library of Canada, pp. 1–147.
- Chen, C.Y., Stemberger, R.S., Klaue, B., Blum, J.D., Pickhardt, P.C., Folt, C.L., 2000. Accumulation of heavy metals in food web components across a gradient of lakes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 45, 1525–1536.
- Cullen, W.R., Reimer, K.J., 1989. Arsenic speciation in the environment. Chem. Rev. 89, 713–764.
- Dang, D.H., Tessier, E., Lenoble, V., Durrieu, G., Omanovíc, D., Mullot, J.-U., Pfeifer, H.-R., Mounier, S., Garnier, C., 2014. Key parameters controlling arsenic dynamics in coastal sediments: an analytical and modelling approach. Mar. Chem. 161, 34–46.
- Duan, L-Q., Song, J.-M., Li, X.-G., Yuan, H.-M., 2010. The behaviors and sources of dissolved arsenic and antimony in Bohai Bay. Cont. Shelf Res. 30, 1522–1534.
- Edmonds, J.S., Francesconi, K.A., Stick, R.V., 1993. Arsenic compounds from marine organisms. Nat. Prod. Rep. 10, 421–428.
- Eisler, R., 1988. Arsenic Hazards to Fish, Wildlife, and Invertebrates: a Synoptic Review, vol. 341. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, pp. 1–92. Biological Report 85 (1.12).
- Fang, M., Choi, S.D., Baek, S.Y., Jin, G., Chang, Y.S., 2012. Deposition of polychlorinated biphenyls and polybrominated diphenyl ethers in the vicinity of a steel manufacturing plant. Atmos. Environ. 49, 206–211.
- Fauser, P., Sanderson, H., Hedegaard, R.V., Sloth, J.J., Larsen, M.M., Krongaard, T., Bossi, R., Larsen, J.B., 2013. Occurrence and sorption properties of arsenicals in marine sediments. Environ. Monit. Assess. 185, 4679–4691.
- Giusti, L., Zhang, H., 2002. Heavy metals and arsenic in sediments, mussels and marine water from Murano (Venice, Italy). Environ. Geochem. Health 24, 47–65.
- Hirata, S., Toshimitsu, H., 2005. Determination of arsenic species and arsenosugars in marine samples by HPLC–ICP–MS. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 383, 454–460.
- Hirata, S., Toshimitsu, H., Aihara, M., 2006. Determination of arsenic species in marine samples by HPLC-ICP-MS. Anal. Sci. 22, 39–43.
- Hong, S., Khim, J.S., Park, J., Kim, S., Lee, S., Choi, K., Kim, C.-S., Choi, S.-D., Park, J., Ryu, J., Jones, P.D., Giesy, J.P., 2014. Instrumental and bioanalytical measures of dioxin-like compounds and activities in sediments of the Pohang Area, Korea. Sci. Total Environ. 470–471, 1517–1525.
- Katagi, T., 2010. Bioconcentration, bioaccumulation, and metabolism of pesticides in aquatic organisms. In: Whitacre, D.M. (Ed.), Reviews of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. Springer., New York, pp. 1–132.
- Kitchin, K.T., Wallace, K., 2008. The role of protein binding of trivalent arsenicals in arsenic carcinogenesis and toxicity. J. Inorg. Biochem. 102, 532–539.
- Koch, I., McPherson, K., Smith, P., Easton, L., Doe, K.G., Reimer, K.J., 2007. Arsenic bioaccessibility and speciation in clams and seaweed from a contaminated marine environment. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 54, 586–594.
- Koch, I., Moriarty, M.M., House, K., Siu, J., Cullen, W.R., Saper, R.B., Reimer, K.J., 2011. Bioaccessibility of lead and arsenic in traditional Indian medicines. Sci. Total Environ. 409, 4545–4552.
- Kohlmeyer, U., Kuballa, J., Jantzen, E., 2002. Simultaneous separation of 17 inorganic and organic arsenic compounds in marine biota by means of high-performance liquid chromatography/inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 16, 965–974.
- Kossoff, D., Hudson-Edwards, K.A., 2012. Chapter 1. Arsenic in the environment. In: Santini, J.M., Ward, S.A. (Eds.), The Metabolism of Arsenite. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis Group, London, UK, pp. 1–23.Lai, V.W.M., Kanaki, K., Pergantis, S.A., Cullen, W.R., Reimer, K.J., 2012. Arsenic
- Lai, V.W.M., Kanaki, K., Pergantis, S.A., Cullen, W.R., Reimer, K.J., 2012. Arsenic speciation in freshwater snails and its life cycle variation. J. Environ. Monit. 14, 743–751.

- Langdon, C.J., Piearce, T.G., Meharg, A.A., Semple, K.T., 2003. Interactions between earthworms and arsenic in the soil environment: a review. Environ. Pollut. 124, 361–373.
- Larsen, E.H., Pritzl, G., Hansen, S.H., 1993. Arsenic speciation in seafood samples with emphasis on minor constituents: an investigation using high-performance liquid chromatography with detection by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 8, 1075–1084.
- Larsen, E.H., Quétel, C.R., Munoz, R., Fiala-Medioni, A., Donard, O.F.X., 1997. Arsenic speciation in shrimp and mussel from the Mid-Atlantic hydrothermal vents. Mar. Chem. 57, 341–346.
- Leufroy, A., Noël, L., Dufailly, V., Beauchemin, D., Guérin, T., 2011. Determination of seven arsenic species in seafood by ion exchange chromatography coupled to inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry following microwave assisted extraction: method validation and occurrence data. Talanta 83, 770–779.
- Maher, W., Goessler, W., Kirby, J., Raber, G., 1999. Arsenic concentrations and speciation in the tissues and blood of sea mullet (*Mugil cephalus*) from Lake Macquarie NSW, Australia. Mar. Chem. 68, 169–182.
- Mason, R.P., Laporte, J.-M., Andres, S., 2000. Factors controlling the bioaccumulation of mercury, methylmercury, arsenic, selenium, and cadmium by freshwater invertebrates and fish. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 38, 283–297.
- Mitra, N., Rezvan, Z., Ahmad, M.S., Hosein, M.G.M., 2012. Studies of water arsenic and boron pollutants and algae phytoremediation in three springs, Iran. Int. J. Ecosyst. 2, 32–37.
- Neff, J.M., 1997. Ecotoxicology of arsenic in the marine environment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 16, 917–927.Nield, C.P., Sleeth, D.K., Larson, R.R., Thises, M.S., 2014. Particle size selective sam-
- Nield, C.P., Sleeth, D.K., Larson, R.R., Thises, M.S., 2014. Particle size selective sampling of airborne arsenic during electroplating operations. J. Chem. Health Saf. 21, 15–20.
- Pacyna, J.M., 1987. Chapter 7 atmospheric emissions of arsenic, cadmiun, lead and mercury from high temperature processes in power generation and industry. In: Hutchison, T.C., Meema, K.M. (Eds.), Lead, Mercury, Cadmium and Arsenic in the Environment. John Wiley & Sons.
- Reimer, K.J., Koch, I., Cullen, W.R., 2010. Organoarsenicals. Distribution and transformation in the environment. Met. Ions Life Sci. 7, 165–229.
- Sánchez-Rodas, D., Gómez-Ariza, J.L., Giráldez, I., Velasco, A., Morales, E., 2005. Arsenic speciation in river and estuarine waters from southwest Spain. Sci. Total Environ. 345, 207–217.
- Saunders, J.R., Hough, C., Knopper, L.D., Koch, I., Reimer, K.J., 2011. Arsenic transformations in terrestrial small mammal food chains from contaminated sites in Canada. J. Environ. Monit. 13, 1784–1792.
- Schaeffer, R., Francesconi, K.A., Kienzl, N., Soeroes, C., Fodor, P., Váradi, L., Raml, R., Goessler, W., Kuehnelt, D., 2006. Arsenic speciation in freshwater organisms from the river Danube in Hungary. Talanta 69, 856–865.
- Seyler, P., Martin, J.M., 1991. Arsenic and selenium in a pristine river-estuarine system: the Krka (Yugoslavia). Mar. Chem. 34, 137–151.
- Shah, A.Q., Kazi, T.G., Arain, M.B., Jamali, M.K., Afridi, H.I., Jalbani, N., Baig, J.A., Kandhro, G.A., 2009. Accumulation of arsenic in different fresh water fish species – potential contribution to high arsenic intakes. Food Chem. 112, 520–524.
- Sharma, V.K., Sohn, M., 2009. Aquatic arsenic: toxicity, speciation, transformations, and remediation. Environ. Int. 35, 743–759.
- Smith, P.G., Koch, I., Gordon, R.A., Mandoli, D.F., Chapman, B.D., Reimer, K.J., 2005. Xray absorption near-edge structure analysis of arsenic species for application to biological environmental samples. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 248–254.
- Tremblay, G.-H., Gobeil, C., 1990. Dissolved arsenic in the St Lawrence estuary and the Saguenay Fjord, Canada. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 21, 465–469.
- Wagemann, R., Snow, N.B., Rosenberg, D.M., Lutz, A., 1978. Arsenic in sediments, water and aquatic biota from lakes in the vicinity of Yellowknife, Northwest Territories, Canada. Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 7, 169–191.
- Wahlen, R., McSheehy, S., Scriver, C., Mester, Z., 2004. Arsenic speciation in marine certified reference materials Part 2. The quantification of water-soluble arsenic species by high-performance liquid chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 19, 876–882.
- Whaley-Martin, K.J., Koch, I., Moriarty, M., Reimer, K.J., 2012a. Arsenic speciation in blue mussels (*Mytilus edulis*) along a highly contaminated arsenic gradient. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 3110–3118.
- Whaley-Martin, K.J., Koch, I., Reimer, K.J., 2012b. Arsenic species extraction of biological marine samples (Periwinkles, *Littorina littorea*) from a highly contaminated site. Talanta 88, 187–192.
- Williams, L., Schoof, R.A., Yager, J.W., Goodrich-Mahoney, J.W., 2006. Arsenic bioaccumulation in freshwater fishes. Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess. 12, 904–923.
- Wrobel, K., Wrobel, K., Parker, B., Kannamkumarath, S.S., Caruso, J.A., 2002. Determination of As(III), As(V), monomethylarsonic acid, dimethylarsinic acid and arsenobetaine by HPLC–ICP–MS: analysis of reference materials, fish tissues and urine. Talanta 58, 899–907.
- Zhang, W., Cai, Y., Tu, C., Ma, L.Q., 2002. Arsenic speciation and distribution in an arsenic hyperaccumulating plant. Sci. Total Environ. 300, 167–177.
- Zhang, W., Huang, L., Wang, W.X., 2012. Biotransformation and detoxification of inorganic arsenic in a marine juvenile fish *Terapon jarbua* after waterborne and dietborne exposure. J. Hazard. Mater. 221–222, 162–169.
- Zhao, S., Feng, C., Wang, D., Liu, Y., Shen, Z., 2013. Salinity increases the mobility of Cd, Cu, Mn, and Pb in the sediments of Yangtze Estuary: relative role of sediments' properties and metal speciation. Chemosphere 91, 977–984.

<Supplemental Materials>

Species- and tissue-specific bioaccumulation of arsenicals in various aquatic organisms from a highly industrialized area in the Pohang City, Korea

Seongjin Hong, Jong Seong Khim^{*}, Jinsoon Park, Hee-Sik Son, Sung-Deuk Choi, Kyungho Choi, Jongseong Ryu, Chang-Yong Kim, Gap Soo Chang, John P. Giesy

Table of Contents

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Information of chemicals and reagents used in this study.	S2
Table S2. Instrumental conditions of HPLC and ICP/MS.	S3
Table S3. Summary of quality assurance and quality control.	S4
Table S4. Summary of white line energies for arsenicals reported in previous literatures	S5
References	S 6

Supplementary Figures

		•		••••				• • • •	••••	••••	• • • • •		• • • •		••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •	• • • • •	• • • •		••••	·· S8
Fig	;. S2	2.	Rela	tion	shij	o bet	twee	en c	once	entrat	tion	oft	otal	l ars	enic	and a	rsenic	speci	ies in	n bi	ota	sam	ples.
гıg	. 51	1.	Rela	tion	shij	b bet	wee	en c	once	entrat	lon	ofto	otal	ars	enic	ın wa	ter and	biot	a sai	mpl	es.	• • • • •	·· \$7

Fig. S3. Boxplot for the contribution of arsenobetaine in aquatic organisms from freshwater (S < 1) and saltwater (S > 5) in the Pohang area, showing comparison between two groups by the Welch-Aspin test (Asterisk (*) indicates significance at the level p < 0.001). S9

Corresponding Author

*Address: School of Earth and Environmental Sciences & Research Institute of Oceanography, Seoul National University, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-742, Republic of Korea. Phone: +82-2-880-6750. Fax: +82-2-872-0311. E-mail: jskocean@snu.ac.kr (J.S. Khim).

Supplementary Tables

Chemicals	Abbreviation	Concentration	Company								
Target compounds	Target compounds										
Arsenite	As ^{III}	1000 ppm	Inorganic Ventures Inc., Lakewood, NJ								
Arsenate	As^{V}	1000 ppm	Inorganic Ventures Inc., Lakewood, NJ								
Arsenobetaine	AB	> 95.0%	Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO								
Arsenocholine bromide	AC	>99%	Wako, Osaka, Japan								
Disodium methyl arsenate	MMA	~ 100%	Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO								
Sodium cacodylate trihydrate	DMA	> 98%	Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO								
HPLC Mobile Phase											
Ammonium carbonate	А	1 mM	Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland								
Ammonium phosphate	В	12 mM	Fluka, Buchs, Switzerland								
Ammonium nitrate	В	12 mM	Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO								
Standard Reference Materials											
Marine sediments	MESS-3		NRC, National Research Council, Canada								
Lobster Tissue	TORT-2		NRC, National Research Council, Canada								
Fish Protein	DORM-3		NRC, National Research Council, Canada								

Table S1. Information of target chemicals and reagents used in this study.

HPLC system	PerkinElmer 200
Column	Hamilton PRP X-100 (25 cm, 10 µm, 4.1 mm), Anion exchange column
Separation scheme	Gradient: A 100% (0-2 min) \rightarrow B 100% (2-16 min) \rightarrow A 100% (16-20 min)
Mobile phase A	$1 \text{ mM} (\text{NH}_4)_2 \text{CO}_3$
Mobile phase B	$12 \text{ mM NH}_4\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4 + 12 \text{ mM NH}_4\text{NO}_3 \text{ (pH 9.5)}$
pH adjustment	NH ₄ OH
Flow rate	1.5 mL min^{-1}
Injection volume	50 µL
ICP/MS system	ELAN DRC II
Nebulizer	Quartz concentric
Spray chamber	Quartz cyclonic
RF power	1500 W
Analytes	AsO $(m/z = 91)$, As $(m/z = 75)$
Reaction gas	$O_2 = 0.6 \text{ mL min}^{-1}$
RPq	0.5
Dwell time	250 ms

Table S2. Instrumental conditions of HPLC and ICP/MS.

	Stability test	DOF	RM-3	TO	Method detection		
Arsenicals	$(\text{Recovery}) \\ (n = 5, \%)$	Reference values ^a (μg g ⁻¹ dw)	This study $(n = 3, \mu g g^{-1} dw)$	Reference values (µg g ⁻¹ dw)	This study $(n = 3, \mu g g^{-1} dw)$	limits ($n = 7$, µg g ⁻¹ dw)	
AC	78 ± 4	< 0.080	< DL	0.043	0.29 ± 0.072	0.02	
AB	92 ± 2	4.69	4.3 ± 0.32	14.25	14.0 ± 0.89	0.02	
DMA	75 ± 6	0.459	0.47 ± 0.029	0.84	1.2 ± 0.066	0.02	
As ^{III}	97 ± 7	0.085	0.13 ± 0.014	-	< DL	0.02	
MMA	82 ± 9	0.091	0.064 ± 0.013	0.093	0.06 ± 0.002	0.03	
As ^V	95 ± 5	0.243	0.31 ± 0.015	0.0928	0.89 ± 0.037	0.06	

Table S3 Summary of quality assurance and quality control	
ruble 55. Summary of quality assurance and quality contro	1.

^aReference values of As species in DORM-3 and TORT-2 were presented in previous articles (Leufroy et al., 2011; Wahlen et al., 2004).

Arsenicals	White line energy (eV)
$AsS, As(Glu)_3$	11870.0
As ^{III}	11871.7
AB, AC, Tetra, C2-AB, C3-AB	11872.6
Arsenosugars	11873.3
MMA	11874.1
DMA, As^{V}	11875.3

Table S4. Summary of white line energies for arsenicals reported in previous literatures (Smith et al., 2005).

References

- Leufroy, A., Noël, L., Dufailly, V., Beauchemin, D., Guérin, T., 2011. Determination of seven arsenic species in seafood by ion exchange chromatography coupled to inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry following microwave assisted extraction: Method validation and occurrence data. Talanta 83, 770-779.
- Smith, P.G., Koch, I., Gordon, R.A., Mandoli, D.F., Champman, B.D., Reimer, K.J., 2005. X-ray absorption near-edge structure analysis of arsenic species for application to biological environmental samples. Environ. Sci. Technol. 39, 248-254.
- Wahlen, R., McSheehy, S., Scriver, C., Mester, Z., 2004. Arsenic speciation in marine certified reference materials Part 2. The quantification of water-soluble arsenic species by highperformance liquid chromatography-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. J. Anal. At. Spectrom. 19, 876-882.

Supplementary Figures



Fig. S1. Relationship between concentration of total arsenic in water and biota samples from the Pohang area, Korea.



Fig. S2. Relationship between concentration of total arsenic and arsenic species in biota samples from the Pohang area, Korea.



Fig. S3. Boxplot for the contribution of arsenobetaine in aquatic organisms from freshwater (S < 1) and saltwater (S > 5) in the Pohang area, showing comparison between two groups by the Welch-Aspin test (Asterisk (*) indicates significance at the level p < 0.001).